## CYSTIDEA BARRANDE 1868 (CYSTOIDEA: GLYPTOCYSTITIDA): PROPOSED SUPPRESSION UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS Z.N.(S.) 1813

## C. R. C. Paul (Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, U.S.A.)

This communication requests the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to reject for the purposes of the Law of Priority, but not for those of the Law of Homonymy, the genus-group name *Cystidea* Barrande, 1868.

2. In the following passage Barrande (1867, p. 179) introduced the

binomina Cystidea bohemicus and Cystidea sedgwicki:

"Nous rappelons, que la bande d4 est l'horizon de notre division inférieure, qui fournait le plus de formes d'Échinodermes, dont quelques unes sont représentées par très nombreux individus, le plus souvent aplatis dans les couches schisteuses. Nous citerons commes exemples: d'abord Echinosphaerites infaustus, voisin de Echinosph. aurantium et dont les spécimens écrasés remplissent des couches entières. En second lieu, Cystidea Bohemica et Cyst. Sedgwicki, formes aux quelles nous nous réservons d'assigner plus tard un nom generique." Barrande 1867, p. 179.

As far as 1 am aware this constitutes the first use of *Cystidea* in a binomen and it is clear that Barrande intended *Cystidea* to be a collective group name, i.e. a name to cover a group of recognizable species, the generic assignment of which was uncertain or as yet unsettled. Both binomina are *nomina nuda* and *Cystidea* Barrande, 1867, is not an available generic name.

3. Barrande (1868, p. 694) published the binomen *Cystidea bavarica* for some cystoid fragments from Hof in Bavaria. This time, however, the binomen was accompanied by a description and *Cystidea* Barrande becomes available from this date. Barrande again expressly stated that *Cystidea* was not intended as a generic name:

"Wir kennen bloss die zwei abgebildeten Plättchen, nach welchen es gewagt sein würde, dieser Art einem Gattungsnamen zu geben." Barrande, 1868, p. 694.

- 4. Barrande (1887, pp. 82, 179–180, 188) again used Cystidea as a collective group name and added eight species each accompanied by a description and figure. He identified Cystidea sedgwicki Barrande, 1867, as Dendrocystites sedgwicki Barrande, 1887, (Barrande 1887, p. 142) but Cystidea bohemicus Barrande, 1867, was not identified. However, from the information given in the passage first quoted, it is evident that Cystidea bohemicus Barrande, 1867, became either Aristocystites bohemicus Barrande, 1887, or Craterina bohemicus Barrande, 1887 [= Codiacystis bohemicus (Barrande)]. The former is more likely.
- 5. Haeckel (1896, p. 152) used the binomen *Cystidea dubia* to describe some indeterminate plates figured by Barrande (1887, pl. 14, figs. 24–33) as "Plaquettes d'origine douteuse."

- 6. Cystidea Barrande, 1867, is a nomen nudum. Cystidea Barrande, 1868, although intended as a collective group name, contained only one species, Cystidea barrande Barrande, 1868, which would become type-species by monotypy if Cystidea Barrande, 1868, were accepted as an available generic name. Although no author has accepted Cystidea as such there seems to be no reason to reject it. Cystidea Barrande, 1868, satisfies all the requirements of Article 11 of the règles and, despite its original author's intentions, constitutes a valid generic name as far as 1 can determine.
- Callaway (1877, p. 670) described a new cystoid, Macrocystella mariae Callaway, from the Shineton Shales of Shropshire, England. M. mariae Callaway is the type-species of the genus Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, by monotypy.
- 8. Barrande (1887, p. 163) proposed a new genus Mimocystites typespecies Mimocystites bohemicus Barrande, 1887.
- 9. Pompeckj (1896), p. 90 assigned Cystidea bavarica Barrande, 1868, to the genus Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, as did Sdzuy tentatively (1955, p. 270). Pompeckj further suggested that Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, and Mimocystites Barrande, 1887, were synonymous.
- 10. Bather (1899, p. 920) proposed the family MACROCYSTELLIDAE of which Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, is type-genus.
- 11. Pompeckj (1896, p. 90), Jaekel (1899, p. 171), Bather (1900, p. 56), and Cuénot (1953, p. 619), have all suggested that Mimocystites Barrande, 1887, and Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, are very closely related or perhaps identical. Those authors who refrained from accepting the former as a junior synonym of the latter did so partly because Macrocystella mariae Callaway, 1877, typespecies of Macrocystella, was inadequately described and partly because Bather (1900, fig. 18) published an inaccurate reconstruction. Sdzuy (1955) was further misled by an inaccurate plate diagram published by Moore (1954) but concluded that the two genera were only separable if published figures were accurate. A redescription of Macrocystella mariae Callaway has now been given (Paul, 1967). In my opinion there is no doubt that Cystidea bayarica Barrande, 1868, Macrocystella mariae Callaway, 1877, and Mimocystites bohemicus Barrande, 1887, are congeneric and strict application of the law of priority would require that Cystidea Barrande, 1868, be accepted as the valid name for this fossil echinoderm. Cystidea bayarica Barrande, 1868, is not a nomen oblitum as it was cited in that form by Bassler and Moodey (1943, p. 148) who were unaware that Pompecki (1896) had referred it to Macrocystella Callaway. Bassler and Moodey also cited Cystidea, but as a collective group name not a formal generic name, and they attributed it to Barrande, 1887, despite listing the earlier usage (Barrande 1868).
- 12. Unnecessary confusion would result from the replacement of Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, by Cystidea Barrande, 1868. Macrocystella Callaway, 1877, has been widely used in the literature and has been described and figured in text books and general treatises both in Zoology and Palaeontology in

English, French and German. It would cause considerable confusion if this familiar name were replaced by Cystidea Barrande, 1868, particularly as species assigned to Cystidea as a collective group name have been re-assigned to different echinoderm classes.

- 13. Of the twelve forms ascribed to Cystidea by Barrande (1867, 1868, 1887) and Haeckel (1896) one (Cystidea sedgwicki) is a solute "carpoid," one (Cystidea bohemicus) a diploporite cystoid, and one (Cystidea bavarica) a rhombiferan cystoid. The remaining nine have not subsequently been assigned to any recognizable genus. It is therefore suggested that the generic name Cystidea Barrande, 1886, be suppressed under the plenary powers. This would leave nine as yet unrecognizable "species" without an available generic name. However, it is still possible that all nine will be assigned to valid genera as their characters become better known. The alternative course of retaining Cystidea Barrande, 1868, will still leave these forms without an avilable generic name as they are clearly not congeneric with Cystidea bavarica Barrande, 1868.
  - 14. The International Commission is therefore requested:
  - (1) to use its plenary powers to suppress the generic name Cystidea Barrande, 1868, for the purposes of the law of priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy;
  - (2) to place the generic name Macrocystella Callaway, 1877 (gender : feminine), type-species, by monotypy, Macrocystella mariae Callaway, 1877, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.
  - (3) to place the specific name mariae Callaway, 1877, as published in the binomen Macrocystella mariae (type-species of Macrocystella Callaway, 1877) on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.
  - (4) to place the specific name bavarica Barrande, 1868, as published in the binomen Cystidea bavarica, on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.
  - (5) to place the family-group name MACROCYSTELLIDAE Bather, 1899 (typegenus Macrocystella Callaway, 1877) on the Official List of Familygroup Names in Zoology.
  - (6) to place the generic name Cystidea Barrande, 1868 (as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above), on the Official Index of Rejected or Invalid Names in Zoology.

## REFERENCES

BARRANDE, J. 1867. Système silurien du centre de la Bohême. 1e partie: Recherches paléontologiques. 3. Classe des Mollusques, Ordre des Ptéropodes. XV + 179 pp., 16 pls. 4°. Prague and Paris 1868. Silurische Fauna aus der Umgebung von Hof in Bayern. Neues Jb.

Miner. Geol. Palāont. (1868): 641-696, 2 pls

1887. Systême Silurien du centre de la Bohême. 1º partie: Recherches paléontologiques. 7. Classe des Échinodermes. Ordre des Cystidés. XVII + 233 pp., 39 pls. 4°. Leipzig and Prague

BASSLER, R. S. and MOODEY, M. W. 1943. Bibliographic and faunal index of Paleozoic pelmatozoan echinoderms. Spec. Pap. geol. Soc. Am. 45: VI +

733 pp

- BATHER, F. A. 1899. A phylogenetic classification of the Pelmatozoa. Rep. Br. Ass. Advmt Sci. 68: 916-923, 1 fig.
- 1900. The echinoderms. In LANKESTER, E. R. A treatise on Zoology. 3: V1 + 344 pp, illust. London
- CALLAWAY, C. 1877. On a new area of Upper Cambrian rocks in South Shropshire, with a description of a new fauna. Q. J. geol. Soc. Lond. 33: 652-672, pl. 24
- CUÉNOT, L. 1953. Échinodermes. Classe des Cystidés. In PIVETEAU, J., Traité de Paléontologie., 3: 607-628, 31 figs.
- HAECKEL, E, 1896. Amphoridea, Cystidea. In Festschrift zum siebenzigsten Geburtstage von Carl Gegenbauer am 21st August 1896, 1:1–179, pls 1–5. Leipzig
- Jaekel, O. 1899. Stammesgeschichte der Pelmatozoen. 1. Thecoidea und Cystoidea. X + 442 pp. 18 pls, 88 figs. Berlin
  Moore, R. C. 1954. Echinodermata: Pelmatozoa. In Kummel, B., Status of
- MOORE, R. C. 1954. Echinodermata: Pelmatozoa. In KUMMEL, B., Status of invertebrate paleontology, 1953. Bull. Mus. comp. Zool. Harv., 112: 125– 149, 8 figs.
- PAUL, C. R. C. 1967. Macrocystella Callaway, the earliest glyptocystitid cystoid. Palaeontology (in press)
- POMPECKI, J. F. 1896. Ein neuentdecktes Vorkommen von Tremadoc Fossilien bei Hof. Ber. nordoberfränk. ver. Naturk., 1:89-101
- SDZUY, K. 1955. Cystoideen aus den Leimitz-Schiefern (Tremadoc). Senckenbergiana Lethaea 45: 269-276, 1 pl, 5 figs,